

## **Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium**

			·					
Bill#	HB0622		Title: Clarify c	onstituent fund account l	aws			
Primary Spansor	Himmelherger Denni	0	Status: As Introd	duced				
Primary Sponsor:     Himmelberger, Dennis       Status:     As Introduced								
☐ Significant	Local Gov Impact	☐ Needs to be include	led in HB 2	Technical Concerns				
☐ Included in	the Executive Budget	☐ Significant Long-T	Significant Long-Term Impacts    Dedicated Revenue Form Atta		rm Attached			
FISCAL SUMMARY								
		FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference	FY 2012 Difference	FY 2013 Difference			
<b>Expenditures:</b>								
General Fund		\$1,800	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Revenue:								
General Fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Net Impact-Gen	eral Fund Balance:	(\$1,800)	\$0	\$0	\$0			

## **Description of fiscal impact:**

The fiscal impact of HB 622 is associated with rulemaking.

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **Assumptions:**

- 1. Passage of HB 622 would require some revisions to the current administrative rules. The FY 2010 cost of contracted services for formatting and legal services total \$1800 or 20 hours @ \$90 per hour.
- 2. The Commissioner of Political Practices would use existing contracted services to pay for the administrative rule changes required by HB 622. No new authority would be required in HB 2.

(continued)

	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 Difference	FY 2012 Difference	FY 2013 Difference			
Fiscal Impact:		<del></del>					
Expenditures:							
Operating Expenses	\$1,800	\$0	\$0	\$0			
TOTAL Expenditures	\$1,800	\$0	\$0	\$0			
<b>Funding of Expenditures:</b>							
General Fund (01)	\$1,800	\$0	\$0_	\$0_			
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$1,800	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Revenues:							
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
TOTAL Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
=			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):							
General Fund (01)	(\$1,800)	\$0	\$0	\$0			
` /	(· / -/			, -			

Sponsor's Initials

Date

Budget Director's Initials

Date